



**ISSN:** 2321-4902 Volume 1 Issue 3

Online Available at www.chemijournal.com

## **International Journal of Chemical Studies**

### A Concise Review on Acid, Alkali and Enzyme Catalyzed Transesterification of Fatty Acid Esters of Glycerol (FAEG) to Fatty Acid Methyl Ester (FAME) Fuel

Mehulkumar L. Savaliya<sup>1</sup>, Jigarkumar R Patel<sup>1</sup>, Bharatkumar Z. Dholakiya<sup>1\*</sup>

 Department of Applied Chemistry, S.V. National Institute of Technology, Ichchhanath, Surat-395007, Gujarat, India.
 [E-mail: bzd.svnit@gmail.com; Tel: +91-9428949595]

In Recent Scenario there are much more problems associated with energy, especially for the fear of depletion of mineral oils is becoming a most discussing matter. On the other side the environmental pollution, ozone Depletion, green house effect, global warming and acid rain are also life threatening issues. Fatty Acid Methyl Ester is a valuable alternative fuel which is able to fight against these problems. Vegetable oils can be used to alternative mineral diesel after reducing their flow properties including viscosity and specific gravity. For that purpose various methods are used. Among these, transesterification process is rapidly and used as it is the most reliable, most feasible, and can be used to produce FAME easily. The transformation ability of FAME by transesterification process is purely depends on the nature of Starting materials (feedstock), Quantity and Different types of alcohol and catalysts, various process parameters including temperature, and reaction time. In this review the Transesterification undergoing by use of acidic, basic and enzyme catalysts have been critically reviewed. Some other Processes like use of microwave Radiation and super critical alcohol have also been discussed critically in this review.

*Keyword:* Fatty Acid Ester of Glycerol, Transesterification, Fatty Acid Methyl Ester (FAME), Vegetable oil, Supercritical alcohol, Enzyme, Free Fatty Acid (FFA).

### 1. Introduction

The increasing cost and depletion of reserves of mineral oils are becoming the serious threat to the humanity, which have forced the scientists to find out the new avenues of energy resources. The reserves of oil and gas will be exhausted in 41 and 63 years respectively, if their use remains continue at the present pace. Since the consumption of oil and gas is increasing exponentially, therefore these reserves will be depleted even earlier. The scientist are already working feasibilities on the and commercialization of solar, wind, and geothermal energies, and recycling of municipal wastes. European countries have planned to use 5.75% of biofuels by the end of 2010 and 10% by the year 2020. According to the reports of international agencies there will be 53% increase in the demand of energy by the year 2030. It is anticipated that the petroleum demand will increase from 84.40 million barrels to 116.00 million barrels per day by 2030 in USA alone. Researchers are also concentrating on the viability of vegetable oils, which are renewable, biodegradable, and nontoxic. Their flash point is higher which makes their storage, transportation, and handling easy. Various types of edible and non-edible oils, used cooking oils, and animal fats have sufficient potential to be used as fuel in compression ignition engines. The awareness and

strict compliance of environmental legislations are also forcing the scientists to adopt the means to reduce the pollutant emissions. These pollutants are serious threat to the environment. The scientists have already proved that the exhaust emissions of the compression ignition (CI) engines, when they are fed with vegetable oils, in pure or blended form, contain a reduced amount of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, THC, toxic compounds, and poly aromatic hydrocarbons. The exhaust emissions of the diesel engines are almost free from oxides of sulfur (SOx) when their fuel is replaced by vegetable oils. However there is an increase of 2-5% of NOx. It is also reported that vegetable oils produce some unregulated harmful compounds like acetaldehyde and benzene. The idea of using vegetable oil as a fuel is almost as old as the diesel engine itself. In 1900 in an exhibition in Paris, the renowned scientist Sir Rudolf Diesel used the peanut oil to run engine for several hours. He was highly excited, and expressed that the time would come when the vegetable oil would be equally important fuel. During the period of 1930s and 1940s, particularly during the World War II, the vegetable oils were used in emergency to substitute diesel. Some Researchers tried to use straight vegetable oils in compression ignition engine but favorable results could not be found. The main issues were their high viscosity and low volatility which hindered the smooth combustion of these fuels. These unfavorable properties are responsible for the plugging of the fuel lines and fuel injectors, carbon deposits on piston rings, and fouling on the piston heads. The cetane number of vegetable oils is also much higher than that of mineral oils, which reduces the ignition

delay. Some researchers have reported that acrolein, a toxic compound, is formed when vegetable oil is combusted in the engine, which is basically due to disintegration of glycerol<sup>[1]</sup>.

# **2. Transformation of Triglyceride of Fatty Acid to FAME**

There are various procedures have been established to convert vegetable oils into fuels which have properties comparable to diesel. These include transesterification, blending. cracking, micro emulsification, and pyrolysis. Transesterification is the most commonly used method for the development of FAME. It has many advantages over other processes e.g., it is performed under normal conditions and it returns good yield of better quality FAME. Hence transesterification technique has been reviewed in this article. Transesterification is a chemical method in which the triglyceride is converted into diglyceride and diglyceride is converted into monoglyceride which is methyl or ethyl ester named as FAME. The reactions consist of consecutive reversible processes as shown below [2]

TG + CH<sub>3</sub>OH  $\leftrightarrow$  DG + CH<sub>3</sub>COOR' DG + CH<sub>3</sub>OH  $\leftrightarrow$  MG + CH<sub>3</sub>COOR" MG + CH<sub>3</sub>OH  $\leftarrow$  Glycerin + CH<sub>3</sub>COOR"" Where R', R" and R"" are various fatty acid chains.

In transesterification, alcohol is reacted with vegetable oil in the presence of appropriate catalyst. Generally ethyl or methyl alcohol is used consequently the ethyl/methyl esters are produced. After the reaction, two distinct layers of liquids i.e., ethyl/methyl ester and glycerin are appeared which are separated as shown in Fig. 1.




Fig 1: Transesterification process Block Diagram

Vol. 1 No. 3 2013

The vegetable oil is reacted with methanol already mixed with catalyst. The fluid is converted into crude FAME and crude glycerin. The glycerin is refined and disposed off for further use. The crude FAME is also refined and alcohol is separated from it which is reused in the cycle. One molecule of vegetable oil/triglyceride reacts with three molecules of alcohol and produces three molecules of monoglycerides and one molecule of glycerol. The reaction is shown in Figure 2.




Fig 2: Transesterification Reaction Scheme.

The byproduct of the reaction, the glycerol is also a useful product. It is used to prepare animal feed, carbon feedstock in fermentations, polymers, surfactants, pharmaceuticals and lubricants. The rate of yield of FAME via transesterification depends upon many parameters. The most important of which are amount and type of alcohol, amount and type of catalyst, the reaction temperature, and reaction time. The moisture contents present in the feedstock and amount of free fatty acids also affect the yield. Generally, the reaction is carried out at a temperature of 50-75 °C and completed within 2 h. FAME and glycerol are separated gravitationally or centrifugally<sup>[3]</sup>.

#### **2.1. Feed Stocks for FAME**

More than 300 feedstock have been identified which could be used to produce FAME. The conventional and non-conventional feedstock, used for the production of FAME. These include edible oils, non-edible oils, wild oils, used cooking oils, and animal fats. The most common

vegetable oils are rapeseed, mustered, canola, sunflower, cotton seed, palm, soybean, linseed, corn, olive, coconut, hazelnut, pistachio,jatropha, honge, sesame, karanja, neam, mahu, caster, safallow, and jojoba oils. Soybean oil is commonly used in USA, rapeseed oil in European countries, palm oil in Malaysia, and jatropha oil in India. They converted the used and virgin, soybean and sunflower oils, and found that the vield was 92% and 97% for used and virgin oil respectively. Some researchers have been converted the neat canola oil and yellow grease, using methanol in the presence of base catalyst and reported that the conversion efficiency was 87% and 58% for neat canola oil and yellow grease respectively. Some researchers have transesterified neat and used sunflower oil using methanol and KOH and concluded that the conversion efficiency for both the oils was same. Some researchers have also reported that conversion efficiency is not affected by using fresh or used oil, provided that appropriate amount and type of alcohol and catalyst is used <sup>[4]</sup>. However the requirement of amount of catalyst is generally higher in case of used oil as compared to Fresh oil.

# **2.2.** Chemical structure and properties of Raw Oil and FAME

Vegetable oils and animal fats mainly consist of triglycerides and diglycerides with a small fraction of monoglycerides.




 Table 1. Chemical structure of various esters and Diesel<sup>[5]</sup>.

The chemical structure of these esters is compared with mineral diesel and FAME in Table 1. The average chemical formula for common diesel fuel is  $C_{12}H_{23}$ . The vegetable oils consist of long chains with multiple branches resulting in molecules of large size. The molecular weight of vegetable oils ranges from 850 to 995, which is much higher than that of diesel which is 168 on average <sup>[6]</sup>. The kinematic viscosity and density of vegetable oils are much higher than those of diesel due to their higher molecular weight and complex structure. A comparison of various physical and chemical properties of diesel and of various vegetable oils is shown in Table 2.

Vegetable oil	Kinematic viscosity at 38 °C (mm <sup>2</sup> /s)	Cetane no.	Heating value (MJ/kg)	Cloud point (°C)	Pour point (°C)	Flash point (°C)	Density (kg/l)
Corn	34.9	37.6	39.5	-1.1	-40	277	0.9095
Cottonseed	33.5	41.8	39.5	1.7	-15	234	0.9148
Crambe	53.6	44.6	40.5	10.0	-12.2	274	0.9048
Linseed	27.2	34.6	39.3	1.7	-1-5	241	0.9236
Peanut	39.6	41.8	39.8	12.8	-67	271	0.9026
Rapeseed	37.0	37.6	39.7	-3.9	-31.7	246	0.9115
Safflower	31.3	41.3	39.5	18.3	-6.7	260	0.9144
Sesame	35.5	40.2	39.3	-3.9	-9.4	260	0.9133
Soya bean	32.6	37.9	39.6	-3.9	-12.2	254	0.9138
Sunflower	33.9	37.1	39.6	7.2	-15	274	0.9161
Palm	39.6	42.0	-	31.0	-	267	0.9180
Babassu	30.3	38.0	-	20.0	-	150	0.9460
Diesel	3.06	50.0	43.8	-	-16	76	0.8550

 Table 2: Properties of Various Types of Vegetable Oils <sup>[7]</sup>.

The properties of FAME are comparable with mineral diesel. Hence it can be used as a fuel, in

pure or blended form, in compression ignition engines. The fuel can be used without any major modification to the engine. Different oils return the FAME of similar chemical and physical properties when these are transesterified, provided that that suitable amount of alcohol and catalyst is used.

# **3.** Use of Basic, Acidic and Biocatalysts (Enzymes)

Transesterification process is conducted in the presence of catalysts. Both acid and base catalysts are used and their selection is made according to the characteristics of feedstock. The virgin vegetable oils, yellow grease or animal fats are reacted with alcohol in the presence of acid or base catalysts. The catalyst is used to expedite the reaction rate and to get better quality FAME. The base catalysts are preferred over acid catalysts, owing to their capability of completion of reaction at higher speed, requirement of lower reaction temperature, and their higher conversion efficiency as compared to acid catalysts. Some researchers have been suggested that base catalyst is successful only when free fatty acid (FFA) is less than one. Crabbe is of the view that base catalysts can also be used in case of FFAs greater than one but higher amount of catalyst is needed. On the other hand, Some researchers have advocated that base catalysts exhibits excellent results when the FFA of oil is below two. They also reported that the rate of transesterification reaction becomes thousands times faster when base catalyst is used instead of acid catalyst. Some researchers have commented that the base catalysts are not successful for oils having FFA greater than 3. However, Some researchers have reported that base catalysts could be effectively used for feedstock having FFA up to 5. The base catalysts cause the saponification when they react with FFAs present in the vegetable oils or triglyceride, particularly when the acid value of feedstock is high. In such cases acid catalysts are used. The acid value of edible oils is normally low as compared to non-edible oils. However the acid value of edible oils also increases when they are used for frying purpose for long time. Some researchers have reported that the acid value of soybean oil increases from 0.04% to 1.51%, when it is heated at 190 °C for 70 h. The acid value of

rapeseed oil increases from 2 to 5.6 and that of palm oil increases from 5.6 to 20, when the oils are used for frying <sup>[8]</sup>. In such cases the application of acid catalysts shows better results.

### **3.1.** Basic catalysts

Basic catalysts are popularly used to produce FAME, which are mainly divided into two types:

- I. Homogenous base catalysts
- II. Heterogeneous base catalysts

#### **3.1.1. Homogenous basic catalysts**

Various types of homogenous base catalysts are used for the transesterification of vegetable oils. The most common among these are sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium methoxide (NaOCH<sub>3</sub>), potassium hydroxide (KOH), and potassium methoxide (CH<sub>3</sub>OK).

#### 3.1.1.1. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH)

The use of sodium hydroxide as catalyst is preferred over potassium hydroxide because it makes less emulsification, eases the separation of glycerol, and is of lower cost. Some researchers have used sodium hydroxide, as catalyst, with methyl alcohol to convert the rice brawn into FAME. They varied the amount of catalyst and that of alcohol for various reaction temperatures and reaction times. They concluded that with methanol/oil molar ratio of 9 and 0.75 % NaOH, maximum yield was obtained in 1 h at the reaction temperature of 55 °C. Some researchers have reported that transesterified waste frying oil could be used as fuel to run the compression ignition engine. They suggested that methanol could be used to transesterified oil in the presence of NaOH. They proposed that one liter of methanol is sufficient for 4.8 l of oil, and 2.88 g NaOH will return the best results within 1 h. They performed all the experiments at 65 °C. Some researchers have produced the sunflower methyl ester and optimized the affecting parameters. They reported that more than 97% yield could be obtained by using 1% NaOH and methanol/oil molar ratio of 6. They also concluded that suitable reaction temperature was 65 °C and time was 2 h. Some researchers have used cotton seed oil to convert it into FAME and

optimized the parameters which affect the yield of FAME. He employed methanol in the presence of NaOH by varying the methanol/oil molar ratio from 3 to 15 and amount of NaOH from 0.3 to 0.9 %. He concluded that maximum yield could be obtained by employing methanol/oil molar ratio as 6 and amount of catalyst as 0.3 % of oil at temperature of 60 °C with reaction time of 1 h. Some researchers have transesterified crude palm and coconut oils to produce FAME. They varied the affecting parameters and concluded that the having low FFA should be base oils transesterified using 1 % NaOH and 28 % methanol. They obtained 80 % yield for palm oil and 55 % for that of coconut oil. Some researchers have compared and optimized the parameters which affect the yield of FAME using neat canola oil and used frying oil. They reported that conversion efficiency for neat canola oil was 90.4 %, when it was transesterified using methanol/oil molar ratio of 7 and 1 % NaOH, maintaining the reaction temperature at 70 °C, and reaction time was 20 min. They further reported that the conversion efficiency could be increased up to 93.5 % by decreasing the temperature from 70 °C to 40-45 °C but reaction time would be increased up to 60 min. They also revealed that optimum parameters for used frying oil were: methanol/oil molar ratio 7: 1.1 % NaOH; reaction temperature 60 °C; and reaction time 1 h. The maximum yield was 88.8 %. Sharma and Singh conducted series of experiments on Jatropha curcus oil. They varied the amount of methanol, NaOH, reaction time, and reaction temperature and concluded that the maximum yield of 98 % could be obtained by using 20 % methanol and 1 % NaOH at reaction temperature of 60 °C with minimum reaction time of 90 min. Some researchers have transesterified the used soybean oil and a mixture of soybean and cotton seed oil using methanol and sodium hydroxide. They concluded that the FAME produced from both the oils have much similar properties which were also comparable to mineral diesel. Some researchers have compared the performance of two steps and single step transesterification processes and reported that 1% NaOH and methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 yielded

more than 95 % FAME when temperature was maintained at 60 °C and the reaction was completed in 1 h. Nye and Southwel remaked that methanol/oil molar ratio of 6, in the presence of NaOH or KOH, vielded the satisfactory results. Some researchers have optimized base catalyst for the transesterification of peanut oil. They concluded that 3.4 % of NaOH was appropriate. Some researchers have that good yield of FAME from used cooking oil could be obtained by using methanol/oil molar of 6 and 1 % NaOH with reaction time of 1 h Some researchers have prepared the FAME from soybean oil using methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 and 1 % NaOH at 60 °C for 50 min. Then 1% hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) was added in the FAME and stirred in the reactor. The methyl ester was separated and washed with warm water to remove the unreacted methanol and impurities. The methyl ester was tested in a four stroke, four cylinder engine and good results were found. Some researchers have removed the moisture from the beef tallow before transesterification. They transesterified tallow by using methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 and 1 % NaOH at 60 °C for 1 h. The methyl ester was re-transesterified using 20 % methanol and 0.2 % NaOH at 60 °C for 20 min. Methyl ester was washed and its properties were tested. They reported that conversion efficiency was 80 % and the quality of FAME produced by two steps transesterification was better than that of FAME obtained by single step reaction. Some researchers have also transesterified different types of vegetable oils using sodium hydroxide and they obtained good results <sup>[9]</sup>.

#### **3.1.1.2.** Sodium methoxide (NaOCH<sub>3</sub>)

Sodium methoxide (NaOCH<sub>3</sub>) is more effective than sodium hydroxide as catalyst because it is disintegrated into  $CH_3O^-$  and  $Na^+$  and does not form water in contrast to NaOH/KOH. Moreover its required amount is 50 % as compared to sodium hydroxide. But the catalyst is less common due to its higher cost. Some researchers have prepared sodium methoxide solution using 10 kg karanja oil, 28.5 g NaOH, and 2 kg methanol for transesterification. The reaction temperature was 70 °C and reaction time was about 1 h. After cooling, it was washed with 10 % phosphoric acid solution. They obtained 84 % conversion efficiency. Some researchers have reported that 0.5 % sodium methoxide and 1 % sodium hydroxide exhibited similar results with methanol/oil molar ratios of 6. Some researchers have established the optimum amount of KOH, NaOH, and NaOCH<sub>3</sub> for soybean oil, sunflower oil, and used cooking oil. They concluded that 0.2-1 % of any of these catalysts is needed for neat oil and 0.4-1.2 % is needed for used cooking Some researchers have transesterified oil. glycerides using methanol/oil molar ratio of 5, in the presence of 0.6 % sodium methoxide as catalyst. The reaction temperature was maintained at 55 °C and reaction time was half an hour. They obtained 97.25 % conversion efficiency. Some researchers have transesterified safflower oil to convert it into FAME. They varied the methanol/oil molar ratio from 3 to 18, reaction temperature from 30 °C to 60 °C and stirring speed from 180 to 600 rpm. They evaluated the performance of base catalysts (KOH, NaOH, KOCH<sub>3</sub>, and NaOCH<sub>3</sub>) and concluded that the best conversion efficiency could be obtained by using 1% of sodium methoxide (w/v) with methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 at reaction temperature of 60 °C, and stirring speed of 600 rpm. They did not report the optimum reaction time. The chemical and physical properties of FAME were also determined and found in accordance with (ASTM) D 6751 and EN 14214 specifications. Although they obtained 98 % conversion efficiency, yet they did not carried out their experiments at temperatures higher than 60 °C. It was quite possible that the conversion efficiency might increase at higher temperatures <sup>[11]</sup>. Some researchers have evaluated the performance of sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, and sodium methoxide as catalysts using methanol. They varied the methanol ratio from 5 to 21 % (by wt.) of cynara cardunculus oil, amount of catalyst 0.1-1 % (by wt) and reaction temperature from 25 to 60 °C. They concluded that the FAME of best quality could be achieved by the use of 1 % sodium methoxide and 15% methanol at 60 °C. They reported that the properties of the obtained

FAME were very much similar to those of mineral diesel <sup>[12]</sup>.

### **3.1.1.3. Potassium hydroxide (KOH)**

Potassium hydroxide is a base catalyst which is widely used in the transesterification process. researchers have compared Some the performance of NaOH and KOH and reported that the performance of KOH was better than that of NaOH. They also reported that the separation of FAME and glycerol was easier when KOH was used as catalyst; hence it was preferred over NaOH<sup>[13]</sup>. Some researchers have transesterified safflower seed oil of Turkish origin using 1 % KOH and obtained 97.7% methyl ester <sup>[14]</sup>. Some researchers have converted sunflower oil and used cooking oil into FAME, using methanol in the presence of NaOH and KOH. They varied the methanol/oil molar ratio from 4.5 to 9 and KOH or NaOH from 0.5% to 1.5 % of oil keeping reaction temperature at 25 °C for 30 min. They concluded that use of 1 % of KOH and methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 at 25 °C returned the highest yield of best quality FAME in 30 min <sup>[15]</sup>. Some researchers have optimized the parameters affecting the production of FAME. They used neat sunflower oil and waste vegetable oils as feedstock for FAME. They reported that more than 96 % FAME could be obtained in 1 h by using 1% KOH and methanol/oil molar ratio 6 at 65 °C reaction temperature. They also reported that conversion efficiency was decreased by 1% when NaOH was used instead of KOH <sup>[16]</sup>. Some researchers have transesterified palm oil stearin using 4 g of KOH and 200 ml of methanol per liter of oil at the temperature of 60 °C for 1 h. Magnetic stirrer was used to mix the materials homogenously. After the completion of reaction the methyl ester was separated from glycerol. The experiment was repeated by using 300 ml of ethanol and similar types of results were obtained. The methyl/ethyl ester was then washed, neutralized and tested in accordance with ASTM 6751 standards for physical and chemical properties. They reported that the results of methyl esters were better than those of ethyl ester <sup>[17]</sup>. Some researchers have. performed a series of experiments to establish the adequate amount of KOH and methanol to convert the karanja oil into karanja methyl ester. They concluded that 1 % KOH, methanol/oil molar ratio of 6, reaction temperature 65  $\circ$ C, and reaction time of 2 h were the optimum values for transesterification reaction. They also reported that most of the reaction was completed within first 15 min; however 2 h were suitable to obtain maximum conversion efficiency (97–98 %)<sup>[18]</sup>. Somebody has determined the conversion rate of crude pongamia pinnata oil using methanol/oil molar ratio 10 in the presence of KOH as catalyst, at 60 °C and found 92 % FAME. The conversion efficiency was increased to 95 % when tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as a co-solvent <sup>[19]</sup>. Some researchers have performed a series of experiments and concluded that FAME of good quality could be obtained by using waste frying oil in the presence of 1 % KOH and methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 at 25 °C for half an hour. They also reported that conversion efficiency could not be increased by increasing the amount of alcohol catalyst. Some researchers have also or transesterified sunflower oil using KOH to produce sunflower oil methyl ester. They tested its physical and chemical properties and commented that the FAME could be used safely compression ignition engines. Higher in conversion efficiency of FAME could be obtained with higher ratio of alcohol and KOH. Some researchers have displayed promising results using 300 ml ethanol and 1.2 g KOH for each liter of used soybean oil <sup>[20]</sup>. Some researchers have converted Brassica carinata oil into methyl ester. They reported that methanol/oil molar ratio of 4.6 with 1.4% KOH at 20–45 °C, returned good results in 30 min. They also reported that the lower amount of KOH and extra amount of methanol were the causes of soap formation and reduced yield. Some researchers have converted sunflower oil using 0.28 % KOH and two to three times excess amount of methanol than stoichiometric ratio at reaction temperature of 70 °C, and obtained more than 96 % yield. Hazelnut kernel oil was transesterified by Gumus, using methanol and KOH. He tested the hazelnut methyl ester in a diesel engine and encouraging results were reported <sup>[20]</sup>.

### 3.1.1.4. Potassium Methoxide (CH<sub>3</sub>OK)

Potassium methoxide is a base catalyst which can also be used for transesterification reaction. Although it was tested by many researchers, yet very few recommended using it on regular basis Some researchers have transesterified vegetable oil in the presence of KOH and CH<sub>3</sub>OK, as catalyst. They compared the performance of these catalysts and reported that 99.2 % yield was obtained in 1 h when 0.455 % of CH<sub>3</sub>OK was used with methanol to oil molar ratio of 8.5, and reaction time was 1 h. whereas 98.1% yield was obtained in 3 h when 0.382% KOH was used with methanol to oil ratio of 11.3. No increase in conversion efficiency was observed in any case by increasing the amount of catalyst, methanol or time <sup>[21]</sup>. Someone has evaluated the performance of sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, sodium methoxide, and potassium methoxide as catalyst using ethanol. They varied ethanol/oil molar ratio from 6 to 12, amount of catalyst from 0.1 to 1.5 % by wt., and temperature from 35 to 78 °C. They concluded that KOH was the most effective catalyst among the four catalysts; however the conversion efficiency using NaOH and CH<sub>3</sub>OK was almost the same. The maximum conversion efficiency was about 75 %, the reaction was completed at higher rate when CH<sub>3</sub>OK was used instead of NaOH. Some researchers have compared the performance of sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, sodium methoxide, and potassium methoxide and concluded that the effects of all the four catalysts were similar in the transesterification reaction. All the experiments were conducted at temperature of 65 °C using methanol to sunflower oil molar ratio of 6 and amount of catalyst 1%. Some researchers have converted cotton seed oil into FAME using sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, sodium methoxide and potassium methoxide. It was resulted that 96% methyl ester was obtained with sodium methoxide, 89% with sodium hydroxide, 84% with potassium methoxide and 76% with potassium hydroxide in 2 h at 65 °C reaction temperature.

Vol. 1 No. 3 2013

#### **3.1.2.** Heterogeneous base catalysts

Homogenous catalysts are very effective catalysts which are frequently used but the major problem associated with the use of these catalysts is their removal from methyl ester which needs excessive washing. A lot of energy, water, and time are consumed; moreover these catalysts cannot be reused. In contrast to that, the heterogeneous (solid) base catalysts being insoluble, are separated simply with filtration and can be reused many times. A lot of research has been done in this direction. Commonly used solid base catalysts are alkaline earth metal oxides, zeolite, KNO3 loaded on Al2O3, KNO3/Al2O3, BaO, SrO, CaO, MgO etc. Among the solid base catalysts BaO takes minimum time while MgO takes the maximum time to complete the reaction <sup>[22]</sup>. Somebody has reported that sunflower oil was converted into methyl ester at the temperature of 252 °C in about 30 min using 3 % CaO and methanol to oil molar ratio of 41. Some researchers have used SrO as a solid base catalyst to convert soybean oil into FAME and achieved more than 95 % conversion efficiency in just 30 min at a temperature of lower than 70 °C. They reported that the catalysts could be reused ten times effectively. Some researchers have used calcium ethoxide as solid base catalyst which was prepared by reacting calcium and ethanol. They proposed the optimum condition as, methanol/oil molar ratio 12, calcium ethoxide 3 %, and 65 °C reaction temperature. More than 95 % soybean oil was converted into methyl ester within 90 min <sup>[23]</sup>. Some researchers have compared the performance of homogenous and heterogeneous base catalysts for the transesterification of soybean oil. The experiments were conducted using calcium oxide (CaO), calcium hydroxide  $(Ca(OH)_2)$ , and calcium carbonate  $(CaCO_3)$ . The conversion efficiency was found to be 93 % by using CaO, 12% by using Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and 0% by using CaCO<sub>3</sub> in 1 h. Since the use of CaO returned wonderful yield so further experiments were conducted using that catalyst by varying the reaction time, moisture contents, and feedstock of different characteristics.

#### 3.2. Acidic catalysts

Acidic catalysts are mainly divided into two types:

I. Homogenous Acid catalysts

II. Heterogeneous Acid catalysts

Acid number is acidic functional group and measured in terms of quantity of potassium hydroxide required to neutralize the acidic characteristics of the sample [24]. Although the base catalysts are very efficient and popular for transesterification processes but these catalysts do not exhibit good results when the feedstock contains water contents and its acid value is high. Base catalysts are highly sensitive to water contents, which cause soap formation, and separation becomes difficult. The acid values of most of the non-edible oils are higher than the performance range of base catalysts. So, in such cases acid catalysts are used. But the problems associated with these catalysts are, requirement of higher amount of alcohol, higher reaction temperature and pressure, and slower reaction rate. Reactor corrosion and environmental issues are also the hurdles in the use of acid catalysts. Both homogenous and heterogonous acid catalysts can be used for transesterification. The acid catalysts which are more commonly used acid, include, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric phosphoric acid, and sulfonated organic acids. The FFA of neat edible oils is normally low but these oils are costly and conversion of too much edible oil into FAME may cause food crises. Hence the only choice is the use of waste oils or non-edible feedstock. The FFA of non-edible oils is generally high. FFA of edible oils is increased when these oils are used for frying purposes, due to hydrolysis of triglyceride. Acid catalysts are recommended to handle such feedstock. Acid catalysts are generally used for two step transesterification. In the first step the oils are reacted with alcohol in the presence of acid catalysts. The acid value of the products is reduced and then the oil is re-reacted with methanol in the presence of base catalysts <sup>[25]</sup>. By this pretreatment the value of FFA decreases to alkaline transesterification range. Generally the value is reduced to less than one. In the next step

the oil is re-transesterified by using sodium or potassium hydroxide as catalyst <sup>[26]</sup>. The feedstock having higher value of FFA is recommended to be transesterified in two steps protocol.

#### **3.2.1.** Homogenous acidic catalysts

Some of the researchers have converted the feedstock of higher acid value into FAME. They exercised a two step esterification. In the first step they used liquid acid and methanol for their esterification with which their acid value was reduced and then the oils were re-esterified using base catalyst <sup>[27]</sup>. Some researchers have transesterified the polanga oil in two steps. In the first step the oil was reacted with methanol in the presence of sulfuric acid and in the second step the fluid was transesterified by 1.5% KOH and methanol/oil molar ratio of 9, for 4 h. They optimized the amount of acid catalysts to reduce the acid value of polanga oil from 22% to 2 %. They reported that 0.65 % catalysts (98.4 % anhydrous sulfuric acid) and methanol/oil molar ratio of 6 returned the best results in 4 h. It was then re-transesterified with methanol/oil molar ratio of 9 and 1.5% KOH for 4 h at 65  $\circ$ C <sup>[28]</sup>. Some researchers have reported that the issue of high value of FFA could be tackled the by a two stage transesterification. In the first stage 2% w/w ferric sulfate and methanol/oil molar ratio of 10 was used for 4 h and the temperature was maintained at 95 °C. In the second stage NaOH of 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, and 3 percent of oil was dissolved in methanol. It was then mixed with already esterified oil and stirred for 2 h at the temperature of 65 °C, and more than 97 % methyl ester was achieved. Some researchers have converted linseed oil into FAME using 5 % sulfuric acid and methanol/linseed oil molar ratio of 20 at 62-65 °C for 5 h. Then the FAME was washed with 5 % salt water to reduce the PH value to neutral and product was dried in an oven, finally linseed oil methyl ester was found. They studied the chemical structure of linseed oil and compared its properties with linseed methyl ester. They tested this fuel in a single cylinder, four stroke, direct injection diesel engine, and found satisfactory results <sup>[29]</sup>. Some researchers have reduced FFA

of rubber oil (FFA 17), Tobacco oil (FFA 35) and Mahua oil (FFA 19) using acid catalyst. Their FFA was reduced to the range which was permissible for alkaline catalyst <sup>[30]</sup>. Although the acid catalysts are cheaper than base catalysts vet more amount of alcohol is needed when acid catalysts are used. Moreover, in the presence of acids in the reactants, the reactors of special material are required; hence the overall cost is [31] Some increased researchers have transesterified crude palm oil using 5 % sulfuric acid catalyst with methanol/oil molar ratio of 40:1. They conducted the experiments at the temperatures of 70 °C, 80 °C, and 95 °C and varied the reaction time. They concluded that reaction rate could be increased by increasing the reaction temperature. They reported that about 99.7% conversion efficiency was obtained in just 9 h at the reaction temperature of 95 °C and similar efficiency was obtained in 24 h at the temperature of 80 °C, using the similar amount and type of catalyst. However, very low yield was returned at 70 °C even after 24 h. Some have transesterified oil using researchers trifluoroacetic acid as catalyst to covert vegetable oil into FAME directly by one step under mild pressure and temperature conditions. They reported that more than 98% yield could be obtained in about 5 h by employing 2.0 M catalysts concentration using methanol/oil molar ratio of 20<sup>[32]</sup>.

#### **3.2.2.** Heterogeneous acidic catalysts

Heterogeneous acid catalysts are preferred over homogenous catalysts, because they do not dissolve in the alcohol and feedstock, hence they can be separated easily by filtration and can be reused. Such catalysts are effective for the esterification of FFA as well as of triglycerides, so their use is admired <sup>[33]</sup>. In 1996 Some researchers have used super acid ( $SO_4^{2-}/SnO_2$ ) as catalyst for esterification of  $\beta$  Keto ester and obtained 97% conversion efficiency in 6 h. In 2001 Some researchers have. used Amberlyst-15 and  $SO_4^{2-}/SnO_2$  solid catalysts for esterification and they obtained the excellent results. They reported that Amberlyst-15 was cheaper and more effective for primary, secondary and allylic alcohols <sup>[34]</sup>. Some researchers have concluded that sulfated tin oxide (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-/</sup>SnO) have sufficient capabilities of esterification and strong acidity on the surface <sup>[35]</sup>. Some researchers have compared the performance of ZrO<sub>2</sub>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and  $ZrO_2/WO_3^{2-}$  and reported that conversion efficiency was 57% and 10% respectively. Some researchers have prepared solid super acid catalysts of tungstated zirconia-alumina (WZA), sulfated tin, zirconium oxides (SZA), and sulfated tin oxide (STO). They used them to transesterify the soybean oil with methanol at 200-300 °C. The catalysts showed high activities for the esterification and a very little amount of byproduct was found <sup>[36]</sup>. Some scientists developed sugar (sulfonated carbon) catalysts for the development of FAME and satisfactory results were reported. Such catalysts can be used to perform transesterification process at relatively lower temperatures <sup>[37]</sup>. Some researchers have compared the performance of sulfuric acid and ferric sulfate ( $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$ ) and reported that ferric sulfate exhibits better results as compared to sulfuric acid and it could be used in mild conditions <sup>[38]</sup>

### **3.3. Biocatalysts**

The transesterification of vegetable oils or animal fats can also be carried out by using enzyme catalysts which are preferred because, by using them, soap is not formed; hence there is no problem of purification, washing, and neutralization. Another advantage of using enzymatic catalysts is that the reactions can be carried out at mild conditions. Enzymatic catalysts can also be applied on the feedstock of high FFA and converts more than 90% of oil into FAME. But the problems associated with enzyme catalysts are their higher cost and longer reaction time <sup>[39]</sup>. In spite of these problems interest is increasing in the use of enzymatic catalysts. Twenty-nine researchers published their results that used enzymatic catalysts, whereas 25 used alkaline catalysts, and only 9 used acidic catalysts [40] Some researchers have transesterified soybean and linseed oil using lipases and concluded that the use of lipozyme as catalyst showed the excellent results for both the oils <sup>[41]</sup>.

Some researchers have converted rapeseed oil in 24 h using methanol/oil molar ratio of 3 /1 at 40 °C temperature with 5% (w/w) Novozym 435 as catalyst. The conversion efficiency was more than 76%. Tert-butanol was added to restructure the effects of excess methanol. Some researchers have performed transesterification of Jatropha oil using three different enzymes (i) Chromobacterium viscosum, (ii) Candida rugosa, and (iii) Porcine pancreas. They concluded that Chromobacterium viscosum was the best catalyst which returned 71% yield in 8 h. They also reported that yield could be increased to 92% using optimum conditions. Some researchers have used Novozym 435, Lipozyme TLIM, and Lipozyme RMIM enzymes as catalysts to convert the stillingia oil into FAME in solvent-free and tert-butanol system. Some researchers have reported that lipase from Pseudomonas fluorescens with methanol/sunflower oil molar equivalent ratio of 4.5 could yield more than 90% FAME <sup>[42]</sup>. Some researchers have reported that admirable results were found for transesterification of tallow using lipase from Mucor miehei with primary alcohols and Candida Antarctica with secondary alcohols. They also reported that similar type of results could be found for other feedstock of high FFA<sup>[43]</sup>.

#### 4. FAME by Microwave Irradiation

Transesterification is also carried out using high frequency microwave irradiations. Microwave irradiations expedite the chemical reactions, reducing the time from hours to minutes and minutes to seconds. The microwave radiations mainly consist of infrared and radio waves. Generally the wavelength of microwaves lies between 1 mm and 1 m and frequency from 300 MHz to 300 GHz<sup>[44]</sup>. Some researchers have transesterified used cooking oil using 20% methanol, 1% NaOH at 65 °C. The reaction was completed in 60 min and separation phase was completed in 8 h with conventional method. The process was repeated with same amounts of alcohol and catalyst using microwave irradiations. The reaction was completed within 2 min and separation phase was completed in 30 min. The conversion efficiency was 100%, as

#### International Journal of Chemical Studies

compared to 96% with that of conventional method. Some researchers have produced ethyl ester using 0.5% NaOH, 100% excess anhydrous ethanol using microwave irradiation of 750 watts. They optimized the microwave exit power and reaction time. It was reported that the optimum reaction time was 4 min as compared to 75 min with usual transesterification method at 50% exit power of 750 W microwave. The catalyst is recyclable and can be used at least 9 times <sup>[45]</sup>. Microwave assisted transesterification process was also adopted by some researchers to convert the Jatropha oil and waste frying palm oil into

their methyl esters. They optimized the parameters i.e., the amount of methanol and NaOH, and reaction temperature and time. They concluded that methanol/oil molar ratio 12, 1% NaOH, 65 °C reaction temperature, and 7 min reaction time is most appropriate. They obtained vields more than 88% in both cases. Some researchers have carried out the transesterification of various oils and reported that 1 min time is sufficient to complete the reaction for excellent conversion efficiency [46]. Microwave assisted FAME production is as shown in Figure 3.




Fig 3: Microwave Reactor System for FAME production

Some researchers have. also performed intensive experiments assisted with microwave oven to optimize the amount of ethanol and reaction time using 1% NaOH. They concluded that yield of FAME was increased from 7.19% to 100% as the molar ratio of ethanol to the used cooking oil was increased from 3 to 9. The maximum yield (100%) was obtained in ten seconds when the alcohol to oil molar ratios were greater than 9. They also reported that chemical reaction did not depend on the reaction time but it depended on oil/methanol molar ratio <sup>[47]</sup>. Some the researchers have produced FAME using 28-40 kHz ultrasound. They reported that requirement of amount of catalyst is reduced from 50 to 66%, when ultrasound irradiations were used, while alcohol/oil molar ratio was the same as in the case of conventional method. The reaction time

was decreased by increasing the frequency of ultrasound. However, the low frequency ultrasound returned better yield <sup>[48]</sup>.

# 5. FAME Production by supercritical Methanol

Super critical methanol transesterification is a method in which the raw material is reacted with super critical methanol at extremely high pressure and temperature. With this technique the vegetable oils or animal fats can be converted into FAME with highly reduced time without the use of any type of catalyst. Due to the absence of catalyst, no washing and neutralization is required. Another merit of this process is that the water contents do not affect the reaction. However, the problem associated with this technique is the requirement of high pressure and temperature at which oil and supercritical methanol exist in the single phase. Some researchers have converted jatropha oil into methyl ester by conventional and super critical methanol methods. They reported that the reaction was completed in 4 min at 220 °C and 84 bars. The yield was 100% and the process was simple <sup>[49]</sup>. Some researchers have transesterified rapeseed oil using conventional and super critical methanol methods. They reported that maximum conversion efficiency could be obtained by applying methanol/oil molar ratio 42 via supercritical methanol treatment at the temperature of 350 °C and pressure of 300 bars for 6 min. Demirba transesterified six different vegetable oils by conventional method and super critical methanol method. He reported that methanol/vegetable oil molar ratio 41 returned best yield (about 96%) at the temperature and pressure of 250 °C and 80 bars respectively. The reaction time was reduced from 2 h with conventional method to 220 s with super critical methanol method <sup>[50]</sup>. Some researchers have used co-solvent technique with super critical methanol at the temperature ranging from 260 °C to 350 °C, methanol/oil molar ratio was 42. They obtained more than 98% conversion of soybean oil. They also resulted that using 0.1% KOH in the reaction, temperature could be decreased to 160 °C <sup>[51]</sup>. Some researchers have also used cosolvent technique using tetrahydrofuran (THF) and reaction was completed at moderate conditions and good yield was found [52]. Some researchers have prepared the methyl ester using rapeseed oil by super critical methanol method and compared it with conventional method. Someone has transesterified soybean oil via supercritical methanol using methanol/oil molar ratio of 42, at temperatures of 350 °C and 400 °C and pressures of 450 and 650 bars. The good yield was obtained in 6 min. They also carried out the experiments using propane as co-solvent which caused to produce FAME at decreased temperature and pressure. The conversion efficiency was also improved <sup>[53]</sup>. Some researchers have compared the conversion efficiency of different oils using acid catalysts. base catalysts, and supercritical methanol<sup>[54]</sup>.

#### 6. Conclusion

From the entire discussion we were reach to a conclusion that vegetable oils and animal fats can be used to produce fuel for diesel engine as well as no further modifications in the engines are required to replace the diesel fuel with such kind of fuels. Because of these fuels are naturally borned, recyclable, sustainable and Eco friendly. Transesterification process is an easy method used to produce FAME of better quality. The transformation ability by transesterification reaction purely depends on different reaction parameters including type of feedstock, type and amount of alcohol and catalysts, reaction time, and reaction temperature. Mainly ethanol or methanol is used for transesterification process. As methanol is cheaper, so it is always preferred first. The reaction temperature as well as reaction time depends upon type of catalyst, and type of processes. However, in general, reaction temperature is 60–70  $^{\circ}$ C and reaction time is 1–2 h for conventional catalysts and conventional processes. Generally homogenous base catalysts are used. Most of the FAME producers use sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide. Most of the authors are of the view that both sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide perform equally well. Sodium and potassium methoxides gives better yield than all catalysts but they are expensive, so they are not very frequently used. Acid catalysts are preferred over base catalysts when feedstock contains moisture and having higher value of Free Fatty Acid (FFA). Solid catalysts are insoluble in oil and alcohol, hence their separation is easy and they can be recyclable. Some enzymes are also used for transesterification but these are very expensive and it takes longer reaction time. Hence these catalysts are not preferred at initial stages. Transesterification reaction can also be done using microwave reactor under catalyst free condition. The Reaction time is reduced from 2 h to 5 min and also FAME-Glycerin settling time is reduced from 8 h to 30 min. So, use of such type of reaction can reduce cost of FAME production.

#### 7. References

- 1. Schuchardt U, Sercheli R, Vargas RM, 1998. Transesterification of vegetable oils: a review. Journal of Brazil Chemical Society, 9:199–210.
- 2. Sinha S, Agarwal AK, Garg S, 2008. FAME development from rice bran oil: transesterification process optimization and fuel characterization. Energy Conversion and Management, 49:1248–1257.
- 3. Shahid EM, Jamal Y, 2009. Performance of direct injection compression ignition engine with cotton seed oil FAME. In: 3rd Int Conf. on sustainable and energy protection SEEP.
- 4. Alcantara R, Amores J, Canoira L, Fidalgo E, Franco MJ, Navarro A, 2000. Catalytic production of FAME from soy-bean oil, used frying oil and tallow. Biomass and Bioenergy, 18:525–527.
- 5. Singh SP, Singh D, 2010. FAME production through the use of different sources and characterization of oils and their esters as the substitute of diesel: a review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 14:200–216.
- 6. Goering CE, 1988. Soybean oil as diesel fuel. In: Paper presented at the Soybean Utilization Conf. Center for Alternative Crop and Products.
- 7. Barnwal BK, Sharma MP, 2005. Prospects of FAME production from vegetable oils in India. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 9:363–378.
- 8. Saka S, Kusdiana D, 2001. FAME fuel from rapeseed oil as prepared in supercritical methanol. Fuel, 80:225–231.
- 9. Leung YC, Chen GY, 2000. In FAME production using waste cooking oil from restaurant. In: Symposium on energy engineering in the 21st century (SEE2000), vol. 4, p. 1553–1559.
- 10. Freedman B, Butterfield R, Pryde E, 1986. Tranesterification kinetics of soybean oil. Journal of American Oil Chemical Society, 63:1375–1380.
- 11. Rashid U, Anwar F, 2008. Production of FAME through base-catalyzed transesterification of safflower oil using an optimized protocol. Energy and Fuels, 22:1306–1312.
- 12. Encinar JM, González JF, Sabio E, Ramiro MJ, 1999. Preparation. Properties of FAME from Cynara cardunculus L. Oil industries engineering chemical research, 38: 2927–2931.
- 13. Encinar JM, Gonzalez JF, Reinares RA, 2005. FAME from used frying oil. Variables affecting the yields and characteristics of the FAME. Oil industries engineering chemical research, 44:5 491–499.
- 14. Isigigur A, Karaosmanoglu F, Aksoy HA, 1994. Methyl ester from safflower seed oil of Turkish origin as a biofuel for diesel engines. Appl Biochim Riotechiwl. 45/46:103–112.

- 15. Tomasevic AV, Siler-Marinkovic SS, 2003. Methanolysis of used frying oil. Fuel Processing Technology, 81:1–6.
- 16. Refaat AA, Attia NK, Sibak HA, El Sheltawy ST, ElDiwani GI, 2008. Production optimization and quality assessment of FAME from waste vegetable oil. International Journal of Environmetal Science and Technology, 5:75–82.
- 17. Rodjanakid K, Charoenphonphanich C, 2004. Performance of an engine using FAME from refined palm oil stearin and biodisel from crude cocunut oil. In: The Joint international conference on sustainable energy and environment SEE.
- Yan S, Kim M, Salley SO, Simon Ng KY, 2009. Oil transesterification over calcium oxides modified with lanthanum. Applied Catalysis A: General, 360:363–370.
- 19. Karmee SK, Chadha A, 2005. Preparation of FAME from crude oil of Pongamia pinnata. Bioresource Technology, 96:1425–1429.
- 20. Allawzi M, Kandah MI, 2008. Parametric study of FAME production from used soybean oil. European Journal of Lipid Science Technology, 8:760–767.
- 21. Casas A, Fernández CM, Ramos MJ, Pérez Á, Rodríguez JF. Optimization of the reaction parameters for fast pseudo single-phase transesterification of sunflower oil. Fuel 2010;89:650–8.
- 22. Kabashima H, Katou T, Hattori H, 2001. Conjugate addition of methanol to 3-buten- 2-one over solid base catalysts. Applied Catalysis A: General, 214:121–124.
- Liu X, Piao X, Wang Y, Zhu S, 2008. Calcium ethoxide as a solid base catalyst for the transesterification of soybean oil to FAME. Energy and Fuel, 22:1313– 1317.
- 24. Sharma YC, Singh B, 2008. Development of FAME from karanja, a tree found inrural India. Fuel, 87:1740–1742.
- 25. Berchmans HJ, Hirata S, 2008. FAME production from crude Jatropha curcas L. seed oil with a high content of free fatty acids. Bioresource Technology, 99:1716–2172.
- 26. Lianhua L, Pengmei LV, Wen L, Zhongming W, Zhenhong Y, 2010. Esterification of high FFA tung oil with solid acid catalyst in fixed bed reactor. Biomass and Bioenergy, 34:496–499.
- 27. Talens L, Villalba G, Gabarrell X, 2007. Exergy analysis Appl to FAME production. Resource Conservation and Recycle, 51:397–407.
- 28. Sahoo PK, Das LM, Babu MKG, Naik SN, 2007. FAME development from high acid value polanga seed oil and performance evaluation in a CI engine. Fuel, 86:448–454.
- 29. Puhan S, Jegan R, Balasubbramanian K, Nagarajan G, 2009. Effect of injection pressure on performance,

emission and combustion characteristics of high linolenic linseed oil methyl ester in a DI diesel engine. Renewable Energy, 34:1227–1233.

- 30. Ghadge SV, Raheman H, 2005. FAME production from mahua (Madhuca indica) oil having high free fatty acids. Biomass and Bioenergy, 28:601–605.
- Zhang Y, Dubé MA, McLean DD, Kates M, 2003. FAME production from waste cooking oil: 2. Economic assessment and sensitivity analysis. Bioresource Technology, 90:229–240.
- 32. Miao X, Li R, Yao H, 2009. Effective acid-catalyzed transesterification for FAME production. Energy Conversion and Management, 50:2680–2684.
- 33. Peterson GR, Scarrah WP, 1984. Rapeseed oil transesterification by heterogeneous catalysis. Journal of American Oil Chemical Society, 61:1593–1597.
- Chavan SP, Subbarao YT, Dantale SW, Sivappa R, 2001. Transesterification of ketoesters using Amberlyst-15. Synthetic Communications, 31:289– 294.
- 35. Matsuhashi H, Miyazaki H, Kawamura Y, Nakamura H, Arata K, 2001. Preparation of a solid superacid of sulfated tin oxide with acidity higher than that of sulfated zirconia and its applications to aldol condensation and benzoylation. Chemical Materials, 13:3038–3042.
- 36. Furuta S, Matsuhashi H, Arata K, 2004. FAME fuel production with solid superacid catalysis in fixed bed reactor under atmospheric pressure. Catalyst Communications, 5:721–723.
- 37. Nakajima K, Hara M, Hayashi S, 2007. Environmentally benign production of chemicals and energy using a carbon-based strong solid acid. Journal of American Ceramic Society, 90:3725– 3734.
- 38. Wang Y, Shiyi O, Liu P, Zhang Z, 2007. Preparation of FAME from waste cooking oil via two-step catalyzed process. Energy Conversion and Management, 48: 184–188.
- 39. Leung DYC, Wu X, Leung MKH, 2010. A review on FAME production using catalyzed transesterification. Applied Energy, 87:1083–1095.
- 40. Pintol AC, Guarieirol LLN, Rezendel MJC, Ribeirol NM, TorresII EA, Lopes WA, PereiraIII PAP, Andrade JBD, 2010. FAME: an overview. Journal of Brazil Chemical Society, 16:1313–1330.
- 41. Athawale VD, Rathi SC, Bhabhe MD, 2000. Novel method for separating fatty esters from partial glycerides in biocatalytic transesterification of oils. Seperation and Purification Technology, 18:209–215.
- 42. Soumanou MM, Bornscheuer UT, 2003. Improvement in lipase-catalyzed synthesis of fatty acid methyl esters from sunflower oil. Enzyme Microbial Technology, 33:97–103.

- 43. Nelson AL, Foglia TA, Marmer WN, 1996. Lipasecatalyzed production of FAME. Journal of American Oil Chemical Society, 73:1191–1195.
- 44. Lidstrom P, Tierney J, Wathey B, Westman J, 2001. Microwave assisted organic synthesis – a review. Tetrahedron, 57:9225–9283.
- 45. Zhang S, Zu YG, Fu YJ, Luo M, Zhang DY, Efferth T, 2010. Rapid microwave-assisted transesterification of yellow horn oil to FAME using a heteropolyacid solid catalyst. Bioresource Technology, 101:931–936.
- 46. Krisnangkura K, Simamaharnnop R, 1992. Continuous transesterification of palm oil in an organic solvent. Journal of American Oil Chemical Society, 69:166–169.
- 47. Lertsathapornsuk V, Pairintra R, Krisnangkura K, Chindaruksa S. Direct conversion of used vegetable oil to FAME and its use as an alternative fuel for compression ignition engine. http://www.thaiscience.info/ [accessed 12.08.10].
- 48. Stavarache C, Vinatoru M, Nishimura R, Maeda Y, 2005. Fatty acids methyl esters from vegetable oil by means of ultrasonic energy. Ultrasonic Sonochemistry, 12:367–372.
- 49. Hawash S, Kamal N, Zaher F, Kenawi O, El Diwani G, 2009. FAME fuel from Jatropha oil via non-catalytic supercritical methanol transesterification. Fuel, 88, 597–582.
- 50. Demirba A, 2002. FAME from vegetable oils via transesterification in supercriticalmethanol. Energy Conversation and Management, 43:2349–2356.
- 51. Yin JZ, Xiao M, Song JB, 2008. FAME from soybean oil in supercritical methanol with co-solvent. Energy Conversation and Management, 49:908–912.
- 52. Boocock G, David GB, Konar SK, Mao V, Sidi H, 1996. Fast one-phase oil-rich processes for the preparation of vegetable oil methyl esters. Biomass and Bioenergy, 11:43–50.
- 53. Cao W, Han H, Zhang J, 2005. Preparation of FAME from soybean oil using supercritical methanol and co-solvent. Fuel, 84:347–351.
- 54. Kusdiana D, Saka S, 2004. Effects of water on FAME fuel production by supercritical methanol treatment. Bioresource Technology, 91:289–295.